THOMAS C. HORNE Attorney General Firm Bar No. 14000

LEESA BERENS WEISZ
State Bar No. 009612
TODD C. LAWSON
State Bar No. 020216
D. MATTHEW CONTI
State Bar No. 021719
Assistant Attorneys General
1275 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007-2926
Telephone 602-542-3881
crmfraud@azag.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff

### IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

STATE OF ARIZONA,

Plaintiff,

v.

GARY A. HUSK (001), and

HUSK PARTNERS, INC. f/k/a JAMIESON AND GUTIERREZ, INC., an Arizona Corporation (002),

Defendants.

Case No: CR2013-002416-001/002

72 SGJ 114

**INDICTMENT** 

CHARGING VIOLATIONS OF:

**COUNT 1: CONSPIRACY**, a Class 6 Felony, in violation of A.R. S. § 13-1003; *Defendants 001 and 002* 

**COUNTS 2 THROUGH 7: PROHIBITED CONTRIBUTIONS**, Class 6 Felonies, in violation of A.R.S. § 16-907 (A) *Defendant 001 and 002* 

**COUNT 8: PROHIBITED CONTRIBUTIONS**, a Class 6 Felony, in violation of A.R.S. § 16-907 (A). *Defendant 001* 

The 72<sup>nd</sup> Arizona State Grand Jury accuses GARY A. HUSK and HUSK PARTNERS, INC. f/k/a JAMIESON AND GUTIERREZ, INC., an Arizona Corporation ("HUSK PARTNERS"), charging on this 21<sup>st</sup> day of May, 2013, that in or from Maricopa County, Arizona:

#### COUNT 1 CONSPIRACY

During a time period beginning during June 2001 and ending on or about May 21, 2013, Defendants **GARY A. HUSK** and **HUSK PARTNERS**, with the intent to promote or aid the commission of an offense, agreed with one or more persons that at least one of them or another person would engage in conduct constituting the offense and one of the parties committed an overt act in furtherance of the offense, in violation of A.R.S. §§ 13-1003, 16-901, 16-907(A), 1-215 (29), 13-301, 13-302, 13-303, 13-304, 13-305, 13-306, 13-603(F)&(G), 13-701, 13-702, 13-801 and 13-803.

Said conduct occurred when the Defendant, GARY A. HUSK, both in his individual capacity and in his capacity as an officer and director of the Defendant political lobbying firm named HUSK PARTNERS, with the intent to promote or aid the commission of an offense, did agree with one or more of HUSK PARTNERS' current or former employees or their current or former spouses, including individuals not named herein, that at least one of them would engage in conduct constituting the offense, specifically: PROHIBITED CONTRIBUTIONS, by reimbursing current or former employees or their current or former spouses, for campaign contributions made to political committees.

## COUNT 2 PROHIBITED CONTRIBUTIONS

During a time period beginning on or about October 19, 2007 and ending on or about November 2, 2007, Defendants **GARY A. HUSK** and **HUSK PARTNERS** did make a contribution in the name of another person, in violation of A.R.S. §§16-907(A), 16-901, 1-215(29), 13-301, 13-302, 13-303, 13-304, 13-305, 13-306, 13-603(F)&(G), 13-701, 13-702, 13-801 and 13-803.

Said conduct occurred when the Defendants, GARY A. HUSK and HUSK PARTNERS, contributed \$600.00 to Pastor for City Council – District 7, a political committee:

- (A) In the name of **HUSK PARTNERS**' employee Edward Sanchez, in the amount of \$200.00;
- (B) In the name of **HUSK PARTNERS'** employee Dana Paschke f/k/a Dana Tranberg, in the amount of \$200.00; and
- (C) In the name of **HUSK PARTNERS**' employee, John MacDonald, in the amount of \$200.00.

# COUNT 3 PROHIBITED CONTRIBUTIONS

During a time period beginning on or about April 21, 2008 and ending on or about April 23, 2008, Defendants **GARY A. HUSK** and **HUSK PARTNERS** did make a contribution in the name of another person in violation of A.R.S. §§16-907(A), 16-901,

1-215(29), 13-301, 13-302, 13-303, 13-304, 13-305, 13-306, 13-603(F)&(G), 13-701, 13-702, 13-801 and 13-803.

Said conduct occurred when the Defendants, GARY A. HUSK and HUSK PARTNERS, contributed \$400.00 to Committee to Re-Elect Mary Rose Wilcox, a political committee:

- (A) In the name of **HUSK PARTNERS**' employee Edward Sanchez, in the amount of \$200.00; and
- (B) In the name of **HUSK PARTNERS**' employee John MacDonald, in the amount of \$200.00.

### COUNT 4 PROHIBITED CONTRIBUTIONS

During a time period beginning on or about July 15, 2008 and ending on or about July 30, 2008, Defendants **GARY A. HUSK** and **HUSK PARTNERS** did make a contribution in the name of another person in violation of A.R.S. §§16-907(A), 16-901, 1-215(29), 13-301, 13-302, 13-303, 13-304, 13-305, 13-306, 13-603(F)&(G), 13-701, 13-702, 13-801 and 13-803.

Said conduct occurred when the Defendants, GARY A. HUSK and HUSK PARTNERS, contributed \$600.00 to Committee to Elect Robert Blendu House, a political committee:

(A) In the name of **HUSK PARTNERS**' employee, Edward Sanchez, in the amount of \$200.00;

- (B) In the name of HUSK PARTNERS' employee John MacDonald, in the amount of \$200.00; and
- (C) In the name of **HUSK PARTNERS**' employee Dana Paschke f/k/a Dana Tranberg, in the amount of \$200.00.

#### <u>COUNT 5</u> <u>PROHIBITED CONTRIBUTIONS</u>

On or about October 10, 2008, Defendants **GARY A. HUSK** and **HUSK PARTNERS** did make a contribution in the name of another person, in violation of A.R.S. §§16-907(A), 16-901, 1-215(29), 13-301, 13-302, 13-303, 13-304, 13-305, 13-306, 13-603(F)&(G), 13-701, 13-702, 13-801 and 13-803.

Said conduct occurred when the Defendants, GARY A. HUSK and HUSK PARTNERS, contributed \$200.00 to Andrew Thomas for County Attorney, a political committee:

(A) In the name of **HUSK PARTNERS**' employee Dean Miller, in the amount of \$200.00.

# COUNT 6 PROHIBITED CONTRIBUTIONS

During a time period beginning on or about June 23, 2009 and ending on or about June 24, 2009, Defendants **GARY A. HUSK** and **HUSK PARTNERS** did make a contribution in the name of another person in violation of A.R.S. §§16-907(A), 16-901,

1-215(29), 13-301, 13-302, 13-303, 13-304, 13-305, 13-306, 13-603(F)&(G), 13-701, 13-702, 13-801 and 13-803.

Said conduct occurred when the Defendants, GARY A. HUSK and HUSK PARTNERS, contributed \$1,000.00 to Sal DiCiccio for City Council, a political committee:

- (A) In the name of **HUSK PARTNERS**' employee Dean Miller, in the amount of \$200.00;
- (B) In the name of **HUSK PARTNERS**' employee Dana Paschke f/k/a Dana Tranberg, in the amount of \$200.00;
- (C) In the name of **HUSK PARTNERS**' employee Edward Sanchez, in the amount of \$200.00;
- (D) In the name of **HUSK PARTNERS**' employee John MacDonald, in the amount of \$200.00; and
- (E) In the name of **HUSK PARTNERS**' employee Velma Martin-Moon a/k/a Velma Martin and Velma Moon, in the amount of \$200.00.

### COUNT 7 PROHIBITED CONTRIBUTIONS

During a time period beginning on or about November 5, 2009 and ending on or about November 16, 2009, Defendants **GARY A. HUSK** and **HUSK PARTNERS** did make a contribution in the name of another person in violation of A.R.S. §§16-907(A),

16-901, 1-215(29), 13-301, 13-302, 13-303, 13-304, 13-305, 13-306, 13-603(F)&(G), 13-701, 13-702, 13-801 and 13-803.

Said conduct occurred when the Defendants, GARY A. HUSK and HUSK PARTNERS, contributed \$1,120.00 to Governor Jan Brewer 2010, a political committee:

- (A) In the name of **HUSK PARTNERS**' employee John MacDonald, in the amount of \$140.00;
- (B) In the name of **HUSK PARTNERS**' employee Velma Martin-Moon a/k/a
  Velma Martin and Velma Moon, in the amount of \$140.00;
- (C) In the name of **HUSK PARTNERS**' employee Dean Miller, in the amount of \$140.00;
- (D) In the name of **HUSK PARTNERS**' employee Dean Miller's wife, Leila Miller, in the amount of \$140.00;
- (E) In the name of **HUSK PARTNERS**' employee Edward Sanchez, in the amount of \$140.00;
- (F) In the name of **HUSK PARTNERS**' employee Edward Sanchez's wife, Marget Sanchez, in the amount of \$140.00;
- (G) In the name of **HUSK PARTNERS**' employee Dana Paschke f/k/a Dana Tranberg, in the amount of \$140.00; and
- (H) In the name of **HUSK PARTNERS**' employee Victoria Hutson, in the amount of \$140.00.

#### <u>COUNT 8</u> PROHIBITED CONTRIBUTIONS

During a time period beginning on or about February 8, 2010 and ending on or about February 12, 2010, Defendant GARY A. HUSK did make a contribution in the name of another person, in violation of A.R.S. §§16-907(A), 16-901, 1-215(29), 13-301, 13-302, 13-303, 13-304, 13-305, 13-306, 13-701, 13-702, and 13-801.

Said conduct occurred when the Defendant, GARY A. HUSK, contributed \$400.00 to Committee to Re-Elect David Goulet, a political committee:

- (A) In the name of Husk Partners' employee Dean Miller, in the amount of \$200.00; and
- (B) In the name of Husk Partners' employee Dana Paschke f/k/a Dana Tranberg, in the amount of \$200.00.

The 72<sup>nd</sup> Arizona State Grand Jury makes the following findings:

- A. That at all times relevant to this indictment, Defendant HUSK PARTNERS INC. f/k/a JAMIESON AND GUTIERREZ INC. was a corporation duly organized under the laws of the State of Arizona
- B. That on and prior to September 16, 2005, the corporation was doing business in Arizona under the name Jamieson and Gutierrez, Inc., and after September 16, 2005, the corporation was doing business in Arizona under the name Husk Partners, Inc.
- C. That all conduct attributed to HUSK PARTNERS INC. f/k/a JAMIESON AND GUTIERREZ INC., an Arizona Corporation, herein was by and through the actions of GARY A. HUSK.

- D. That at all times relevant to this Indictment, Defendant GARY A. HUSK was a high managerial agent of HUSK PARTNERS INC. f/k/a JAMIESON AND GUTIERREZ INC., an Arizona Corporation, and the conduct attributed to HUSK PARTNERS INC. f/k/a JAMIESON AND GUTIERREZ INC., an Arizona Corporation, herein was authorized, solicited, commanded or recklessly tolerated by GARY A. HUSK within the scope of his employment.
- E. That, pursuant to A.R.S. § 21-425, the State Grand Jurors find that the offenses described above were committed in Maricopa County, Arizona.

TRUE Bill")

THOMAS C. HORNE ATTORNEY GENERAL STATE OF ARIZONA

LEESA BERENS WEISZ TODD C. LAWSON D. MATTHEW CONTI

Assistant Attorneys General

#3334645v6

Dated: May 21/2

Foreperson of the State Grand Jury